

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,329	06/22/2001		Jeff Davison	10491-1	9215
30076	7590	12/17/2004		EXAMINER	
BROWN RAYSMAN MILLSTEIN FELDER & STEINER, LLP				BASEHOAR, ADAM L	
SUITE 711 1880 CENTURY PARK EAST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES CA 90067			2178		

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/888,329	DAVISON, JEFF					
•	Examiner Adam I Basehaar	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Adam L Basehoar	2178					
Period for Reply	podro on uno ocycr ancet mun uno o	errosponaonos adaresos					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06/2	<u>22/01</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	, , , , ,	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/888,329 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: The Application filed on 06/22/01 which claims priority to Provisional application 60/235,458 filed on 09/26/00 and Provisional application 60/214,067 filed on 06/26/00.
- 2. Claims 1-27 are pending in this case. Claim 1 is an independent claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim is viewed as being indefinite as it pertains to the terminology "other processing." It is unclear for the purposes of examination what scope and limitations "other process" encompasses. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Art Unit: 2178

6. Claims 1, 3-7, 9-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Microsoft FrontPage 2000, Screen Shots, 12/31/99, pp. 1-20..

-In regard to independent claim 1, FrontPage teaches a method for processing a markup language file having portions comprising;

downloading a first markup language file (pp. 3: Open File: "File Name") using HTTP (i.e. the standard protocol for moving hypertext files across the Internet) and referencing the first markup file (pp.4: Normal View) by the URL or the name of the local file on the user system (pp.4: "http://wombat.doc.ic.ac.uk/foldoc/");

parsing the first markup language file for one or more portions (pp. 6); and storing each portion of the file into a directory structure (pp. 6) containing folders (pp. 6: "C:\WINNT\Profiles..."), subfolders (pp. 6: "_private", "images", etc), and files (pp. 6: "discuss.html", "members.htm", etc), complying with the structure of the first file (pp. 6).

- -In regard to dependent claim 3-7, 9-22, and 27, FrontPage teaches a command language set comprising:
 - -listing the contents of a folder (pp.6: i.e. opening the file shows a listing of its contents)
- -changing folders and syntax for designating subfolders of folders (pp.6: i.e. opening different folders and changing the syntax by clicking the "+" or "-" to open or close a folder)
- -listing the contents of a file (pp.7: i.e. opening the file and listing the contents in the display window)
 - -selection and viewing of objects (pp. 6 & 7: i.e. viewing selectable files and folders)

-listing the attributes of a hypertext markup language tag (pp. 5: i.e. listing the "HTML" view of a file)

-treating the contents of a file as a local variable when a directory pathname for the file is referenced (pp. 7: i.e. "members.htm" file and contents are downloaded and thus local variables to the client)

-treating an attribute of a file as a local variable when a directory pathname is referenced (pp. 5: i.e. file and attribute contents are downloaded and thus local variables to the client)

- -making new folders in the directory structure (pp. 8: "New Folder")
- -making new files in the directory structure (pp. 9: "New Page")
- -copying folders in the directory structure (pp. 10: "Copy")
- -recursively copying folders in the directory structure (pp. 18: "images_copy(1)" & "images_copy(2)")
 - -copying files in the directory structure (pp.11. "Copy")
- -recursively copying files in the directory structure (pp. 18: "search_copy(1)" & "search_copy(2)")
 - -renaming folders in a directory structure (pp. 12: "Rename")
 - -renaming files in a directory structure (pp. 13: "Rename")
 - -creating new files through redirection of an output command (pp. 9: "New Page")
 - -setting a file value (pp. 14: i.e. File Renaming or Title Changing)
 - -saving the modified file to disk (pp. 15: "Save" or "Save As")
 - -outputting the modified file to a standard output (pp. 16: "Print")

Application/Control Number: 09/888,329 Page 5

Art Unit: 2178

-creating HTML documents containing references to tag variables (pp. 19: i.e. downloaded HTML website), allowing insertion into a markup document the contents of a file from a second markup language document (pp. 20: i.e. Allowed for the insertion of file "search.htm" into the first HTML document).

-In regard to dependent claims 23-26, FrontPage teaches where said command language set allows creation of a batch file containing a subset of commands (pp. 17: "Publish to Web" or "Preview in Browser": i.e. Publishing or Previewing a folder creates a file that executes a subset of commands to execute the publishing or previewing to all files in the folder) and defining local variables for processing in conjunction with variables and attributes of the files (pp. 14: i.e. File Renaming or Title Changing & Fig. 5: Variables & Attributes one of the files). FrontPage also teaches comprising a command for loop processing (pp. 17: i.e. Publish to Web commands open-looped processing of all the files selected to be published) and jumping to a new location within the file and resuming execution at a new location via the inner file links (pp. 4: Links "More help", "Missing terms", etc.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft FrontPage 2000, Screen Shots, 12/31/99, pp. 1-20.

-In regard to dependent claim 2, FrontPage teaches wherein the MakeAbs Method can be used to convert relative uniform resource locations into absolute uniform resource locations ("Converting Relative and Absolute URL's," pp.1-2

http://msdn.microsoft.com/library/default.asp?url=/library/en-us/off2000/html/fphowURLs.asp).

FrontPage doesn't teach wherein the conversion was done automatically. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for FrontPage to have converted all relative URLs to absolute URLs, because FrontPage teaches that the recommended addressing for FrontPage was absolute addressing ("Converting Relative and Absolute URL's," pp. 1-2 http://msdn.microsoft.com/library/default.asp?url=/library/en-us/off2000/html/fphowURLs.asp).

9. Claim 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft FrontPage 2000, Screen Shots, 12/31/99, pp. 1-20 in view of Leblang et al (US-5,574,898 11/12/96).

-In regard to dependent claim 8, FrontPage does not teach wherein its "Open" command in the command set includes adding wildcards in the pathname. Leblang et al teaches wherein adding wildcards to pathnames was well known in the art at the time of the invention (column 11, 18-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for FrontPage to have allowed wildcards in any "Open" pathname, because Leblang et al teaches wherein wildcards in pathnames allow for the matching of many similar names and

Application/Control Number: 09/888,329

Art Unit: 2178

would thus allow the finding and opening of files that would generally be of the same type or related in some fashion.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US-5,974,418	10-1999	Blinn et al.
US-6,009,436	12-1999	Motoyama et al.
US-6,779,151	08-2004	Cahill et al.
US-5,890,171	03-1999	Blummer et al.
US-6,629,135	09-2003	Ross et al.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam L Basehoar whose telephone number is (571)-272-4121. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Hong can be reached on (703) 308-5465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/888,329

Art Unit: 2178

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALB

STEPHEN S. HONG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8